

From: [Carol Paquette](#)
To: votingsystemguidelines@eac.gov
Subject: comments on UOCAVA Pilot Program Testing Requirements
Date: 04/14/2010 12:05 PM

1. p. 5, para 2, line 2 - revise to read "Consequently it relied on U.S. domestic, military and foreign postal mail systems ..."
2. p. 6, 1.1.1, para 5 - suggest add a sentence at end something like: "This effectively put all federally-sponsored projects involving electronic return of voted ballots on indefinite hold." This provides a transition to the next paragraph.
3. Need to add Arizona 2008 on-line system and FVAP IVAS/VRDB projects for completeness.
4. p. 9, diagram - need to add local EMS and VRDB outside perimeter to note interfaces per 1.2. Also need to add paper record and system performance auditing processes, which are required.
5. p. 10, 1.3 - Suggest that some reference to Pilot Certification Manual be added somewhere in this section to clarify how procedures in that manual apply and how it relates to the 'regular' certification manual.
6. p. 10, 1.3.1 - need to make scope description consistent with description in 1.1.3 and 1.2.
7. p. 10, 1.3.2.1, 1st sentence, 2nd line - suggest revising to "...requirements apply to remote electronic voting systems using controlled voting platforms, voting system ...". Useful to repeat limitation on scope to impress this point on readers.
8. p. 12, 1.3.5.2a. - which Certification Manual is being referred to : standard or pilot?
9. p. 13, 1.3.6.2 - need new example using requirements in the document.
10. p. 14, 1.4 - Has this been totally removed to best practices document?
11. p. 14, 1.5 - This seems out of place here. When is this document required to be provided - when manufacturer applies for program or some other time? All other system documentation is covered in the TDP or user manual sections. Can this be moved to TDP?
12. p. 20, 2.3.2.2 - Recommend re-ordering a, b, c, d chronologically.
13. p. 21, 2.3.2.2 - Reword c. to be consistent with other points: "Enable the voter to either cast the ballot or return to the vote selection process to make changes after reviewing the confirmation screen and paper record, and"
14. p. 21, 2.3.2.3b. - Suggest that 'stored successfully' be changed to 'stored persistently' to clarify that ballot has been deposited in electronic ballot box and thus constructively received by the local election jurisdiction, and not in some intermediate storage stage.

Carol Paquette